# SPEECH

MAJOR SCOTT

ANTHE

HOUSE OF COMMONS,

ON FRIDAR MAY, 21, 2790,

ON THE COMPLAINT OF

GENERAL BURGOINE

\* 0 1 1

BREACH OF PRIVILEGE.

Dat veniam Corvis, vexat censora Columbas.

Tri

## LOND OWN

Printed for J. STOCKDALE, opposite Burlingcolo Paul

REICE ONE SHILLING



.2 . . . .

## HOUSE OF COMMONS

FRIDAY, MAY 21, 1790.

General Burgoyne having opened the grounds of a Complaint against John Scott, Esq. Member for West Looe, read the following Resolutions, declaring he meant to move them severally as soon as the Honourable Member had been heard in his defence.

I. "That it is against the law and usage of Parliament, and a high breach of the Privilege of this House, to write or publish, or cause to be written or published, any scandalous or libellous reflections on the honour and justice of this House, in any of the impeachments or prosecutions in which it is engaged."

II. "That it appears to this House that the said Letter now delivered in, is a scandalous and libellous Paper, reslecting on the honour and justice of this House, and on the conduct of the Managers appointed to conduct the Impeachment now proceeding against Warren Hastings. Esq."

Major Scott being called upon from the Chair, rose and said,

Mr. SPEAKER,

BEFORE I enter upon my defence, I must express my acknowledgements to the Honourable General for the very fair and candid manner in which he has opened the charge that he has thought proper to prefer against me. In the first place, I do most solemnly disavow the slightest intention that I had, to do any thing that could be construed into an invasion of the privileges of the House of Commons.

The peculiar fituation in which I stand at the present moment, will, I statter my-self, Mr. Speaker, plead my excuse to the House, for detaining them a short time, but I promise them it shall be as short as possible.

I must confess to you, Sir, that I did not expect at this time of day such a motion from such a quarter. I know that this House possesses great and important privileges;

leges; I know that the privileges of the House are daily broke in upon; but, as there are fome rules " more honoured in the breach than in the observance," I have always supposed, that in a country the freest in the whole world, this House had confented to dispense with the rigid observance of fome of its privileges, retaining, however, the full power to refume them; and where liberty thall be pleaded as an excuse for licentiousness, the House will consult their own dignity, their own honour, and their justice, in calling the offender to a fevere example. I believe, Sir, it has been observed in almost every trial for a libel in the Courts below, that the furest way to preserve the freedom of the press, is to punish the abuse of it. Upon this ground, Mr. Speaker, I wish my conduct to be confidered, and upon no other I am fure, will it be confidered by a body of Gentlemen, who prizing the bleffings of a free constitution, will be at all times ready to support, in its fullest extent, the freedom of the press. I know it to be one of the standing orders of this House, that no strangers shall be admitted into the gallery; yet, Sir, our gallery is always and very pro-B 2 perly perly full of strangers. I am aware that it is a breach of privilege, for any man to publish the speeches of this House; yet, we know, that every day's debate is regularly published. and with great accuracy in general, on the following morning, and we have very good reason to believe, that on important queftions in this House some members write their own speeches, and I will appeal to the recollection of every Gentleman, whether men of the first eminence in the House, have not thought it of importance, to correct any mifrepresentation that has occasionally been made of their speeches, by an explanation on the following day; but no person ever thinks of excluding strangers from the gallery, or prohibiting the publication of the Members speeches, because it has fometimes happened, that errors have been committed, in publishing what Gentlemen have not faid in this House.

The precedent of the year 1701, does not apply in any degree. At that time the manners and customs of all ranks of people were different, and the publick knew little of the proceedings in Parliament, beyond what what appeared upon the Journals; but in these enlightened days, they know what their representatives do every day, and they have a right to know it. I perfectly concur in opinion with the Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Fox) that those who send us to this House have a right to be acquainted with what passes in it. For many years back the Members of this House have obligingly (many of them at least) explained to the publick their conduct in parliament, and I hold now in my hand twelve speeches and pamphlets on political subjects, published by a Right Hon, Gentleman over the way, (Mr. Burke) which I shall more particularly refer to by and by. The question then to confider is, whether in my remarks upon a speech of a Right Hon. Gentleman, or rather upon the report of that speech, I have deviated from that line of propriety, which as a Member of Parliament, or, as a Gentleman, I am bound to observe. But, though my letter is really and truly a remark upon a speech in a paper, yet I will neither be mean nor base enough to shelter myfelf under fuch a fubterfuge. I have read it again and again, but cannot find an offensive

offensive word in it. Will the Hon. General point out any thing offensive in the language, or abfurd in the argument? I shall be glad to meet him upon that ground. I will very shortly, Mr. Speaker, examine the facts that I have afferted one by one, and I defy the united abilities of the Gentlemen opposite to me to dispute the veracity of any one of them. The first, Sir, is the story of the three thousand pounds stated to. have been paid by Mr. Hastings, at the India House, for copying papers. Am I to blame for the circulation of that ridiculous tale? or am I charged for a libel, because I arrested a libel in its course? Men who are profecuting for acts which involve, as they fay, the defolation of Provinces, the banishment of Princes, the robbery of Ladies, &c. one should imagine, would be above attending to fuch nonfense. when a Right Hon. Gentleman thought proper to make a ferious enquiry into fuch tittle-tattle stuff, and to involve my name in it; when men of rank-and confequence repeated the story again, I was justified in declaring what I again repeat, that the story has no foundation in truth, either as it respects

spects Mr. Hastings or myself. It there are any persons who are to be ashamed, they are those who sirst brought such contemptible nonsense before the publick.

My next affertion is, that the length to which the trial has been protracted, has excited the regret of every honest man in England, and the aftonishment of every enlightened statesman in Europe. I folemnly deny that this was meant as a reflection upon the House, but that the remark is founded in truth, I will maintain before the whole world. Sir, the next affertion in my letter is, that an account stated in a morning paper, that the delays in the trial are imputable to Mr. Haftings, are not true, that to fay fo, is to add infult to injury. Had I stopped here, the House might with justice accuse me of audacity; but I have given my reasons for adding, that I believe it was the original intention of the Right Hon. Gentleman not to bring the Trial to a close in the present Parliament. If every honest man in the kingdom will lay his hand apon his heart, and deliver his opinion, I am convinced it will exactly coincide with mine.

fine. But I have still stronger evidence to offer upon this point. Such Gentlemen as have attended in Westminster Hall, cannot but observe the slow progress of the present Trial. I own I am aftonished at the patience and forbearance of both Houses: Sir, we the profecutors have been proving for feveral days, -I beg pardon, I mean we have been attempting to prove, that Mr. Hastings by a system he established in 1781, brought great loss and damage to the revenues of the East-India Company, though our Managers proved three months ago that the change of fystem was attended by an actual increase of revenue, amounting to nearly 400,000l. in three years. there is one Gentleman in this House doubts the truth of this, let him borrow the evidence, and look into page 1196. Sir, upon the next fact the House will determine. I think the justice of the re-

flection

<sup>•</sup> Mr. Wright, Auditor of Indian accounts, produced an account of the nett collections in Bengal for the three years preceding the abolition of the Provincial Councils, and three years subsequent to it. This was entered upon the minutes of evidence by order of their Lordships, on the 23d of February, and in the increase in the latter period is 33,72,072 rupees, about 400,000l. sterling.

flection no man will dispute, but I have put it hypothetically, and I now ask the House and the country, whether it was just or honourable to impeach a man for acts that he was faid to have done in the year 1772, which were fully known in 1776 in Great Britain, upon which not one tittle of new evidence is or can be brought, when subsequent to the year 1776, he has three feveral times been appointed by the Legislature, on the motion of the Minister, Governor General of Bengal? Surely such a question is a fair one, and if ever pertinently put, put at fuch a time as this, when we may be on the eve of a war. I have put the question hypothetically, but I am neither afraid nor ashamed to say that I think it was unworthy of a great nation. The very same observation fell from a Member of great confideration in his place \*, while the articles were depending in this House. He expressed his conviction that the House would never impeach Mr.

<sup>\*</sup> The Lord President of the Court of Session, at that time Lord Advocate of Scotland.

Hastings for acts done in 1772, universally known, and virtually sanctioned by three subsequent re-appointments. He mentioned the case of Sir Walter Raleigh, and faid that the impeachment of Mr. Hastings upon this part of the article, would be more unjust than the execution of that great man, who after condemnation, was taken from the Tower to be employed on foreign service, and put to death after his return to England. The House merely voted that there was matter of impeachment in the charge as originally brought, and that charge contained a great variety of allegations. The article was drawn by the Committee, and voted by the House, without any discussion. The next point, Mr. Speaker, is what I took as I state from the newspaper, for I really was not in the House at the time the Right Hon. Gentleman gave notice of the motion he intended to make. I' mean as to the new and dangerous doctrines that he had heard in Westminster Hall; I abide by the reflection I made upon that circumstance. The next point is a matter in which the dignity and justice of this House

is most materially concerned; on which its character for confistency throughout the country materially depends. affirm, Mr. Speaker, that the House upon this point is involved in a very unfortunate dilemma, owing to the degree of confidence they have reposed in the Gentlemen opposite me. When I state the facts, the House will judge of the proper measures to be purfued. Of the twenty articles composing the Impeachment, there is one entitled Revenues, on which so much time has been employed in Westminster Hall. When that article was under the confideration of a Committee of the whole House, the Minister not only voted, but spoke against it; and he proved from accurate calculations, that by Mr. Hastings's change of fystem in 1781, a considerable advantage bad accrued to the East India Company. He proved also, that no fort of favour was shewn by Mr. Hastings to his servant Cantoo Baboo, who had been a very confiderable farmer of revenue, before Mr. Hastings arrived in Bengal. It happened, however, that upon the division, the Minister was left in a minority, and the quef-C 2 tion

tion was carried by a majority of fifteen, for impeaching Mr. Hastings upon that ar-This was the only debate in the ticle. House upon the subject, for when the article was presented in the form in which it now is, it passed like all the others, without observation or comment, and I am fure without being looked at; because either it contains an affertion palpably and notoriously false, or certain refolutions moved for four years successively are notoriously false, for this most intelligible of all reasons, that they are manifestly contradictory, the one to the other, as I shall prove in a few words, and unless it be true that two and two make five as well as four, both are not true. This article of impeachment states, that Mr. Hastings's administration of the Revenues was attended with great loss and damage to the Revenues of the East-India Company, and with the vexation, oppression and destruction of the natives of Bengal. It was voted by the House of Commons in the month of May, 1787. Now it happened that a very few days before this vote, the House voted another Resolution directly the reverse of it.

it. That Resolution was moved by a Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Dundas) and is in fubstance as follows: That the annual Receipts of the Bengal Government on an average of three years from 1781-2 to 1783-4 were five hundred and two lacks of rupees. The Right Hon. Gentleman did not merely content himself with moving this resolution, but he reasoned upon it at great length, and he affirmed, as is undoubtedly the truth, that Bengal was the best governed country in India. This is the average of the three years of Mr. Hastings's administration, that immediately followed the change of a fystem, a change fo much condemned by the Managers. I will do the Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Dundas) the justice to fay he also voted against the Revenue Article; but as the House has had an India Budget in 1788, 1789, and 1790, and as it has voted Refolutions each year which prove, that fo far from Mr. Hastings having overstrained the country in order to get a large temporary Revenue, the last year's Revenues are higher than the preceding, I do own I am not a little astonished, that the House still

permits the Revenue Article to stain its Journals, or allows its Managers to go on day after day, attempting to prove what if it could be proved, must disgrace the House of Commons; namely, that for four years fuccessively it has entered false Resolutions upon the Journals. I contend, that the Resolutions are true, and that the Article is false. Those who differ in opinion with me, those who support the Article, must condemn the Resolution. Was it Sir, indecent, or improper in me, to attempt to avert the mischiefs which the public might fustain, by a gross perversion of the fense of Lord Cornwallis's letter? Is there a man in England fo stupid, as to believe that a country is depopulated and ruined, which furnished supplies for maintaining feventy thousand men in arms during the late war, which fince the reductions, in consequence of the peace, has furnished a surplus of more than two millions sterling a year, and from which Lord Cornwallis bimself tells us, we may depend upon the continuance of such a surplus in future? I think, Sir, by explaining this matter, I might claim some merit with this House, and

and with the publick. It is the duty of. every Member of Parliament to Support the Government of the country as far as he can; and I am not afraid to avow, that I have often written upon the Revenues and Resources of this country, and I shall ever be ready to avow it. As to my statement of the Revenues, so different from that of the Gentlemen opposite me, I will pledge my falvation upon the truth of my account, unless they will prove, that Lord Cornwallis has transmitted false accounts from Bengal. Look to the Reports upon your Table, and you will fee, that when Mr. Hastings came to the Government of Bengal, the whole Resources of that Government were 313 lacks of rupees. Look to your Journals, and you will fee, that when he quitted the Government, they were 520 lacks, and that now they are 530 lacks\*. In opposition to this broad

<sup>\*</sup> The account of the total receipts of the Bengal Government, the year preceding Mr. Hastings's accession to that Government, is taken from the fifth Report of the Secret Committee (Mr. Dundas's). The account of the total receipts of the Bengal Government during the

fast, is it not enough to make a man lose his patience, when he he hears it afferted in the name of the House of Commons, that Bengal has declined during his Administration? Sir, there is one other point that I must mention. The Honourable General fays, if I faw any thing wrong, it was my duty to state it to this House. Have I, Mr. Speaker, neglected my duty in this particular? On the contrary, I am afraid I have troubled you too often, but it is a point of so much consequence, that I do hope four last years of Mr. Hastings's Administration, is entered upon the Journals of the House of Commons. The annual receipts upon an average of three years, from 1781-2 to 1783-4, are five hundred and two lacks. From 1782-3 to 1784-5, five hundred and twenty-one Mr. Hastings resigned on the 1st of February lacks. This average therefore includes the three last 1785. years of his Administration. If what is contained in the Journals, and Mr. Dundas's Reports are true, the accounts flands thus:

Total resources when he left Bengal - - 521 Lacks

Total resources when he arrived there - 313

Total annual increase during his administration 208 Lacks

Or more than two millions sterling. The last annual receipts, from 1786-7, to 1778-9, as entered in the Journals of the 3d of May, 1790, make the Receipts sive hundred and thirty lacks. These sales are unanswerable.

the time will come when Gentlemen of more importance will take it up; for it is a point in which the honour, as well as the justice of the House, is deeply interested.

I am afraid, Mr. Speaker, that I have tired and difgusted the House by so often repeating the same remarks; and so far have I been from neglecting my duty, of which the Honourable General accuses me, that I have been constantly upon the watch, and have seized every practicable opportunity to bring fo important a matter before Parliament and the publick.

I have told Gentlemen, that though I cordially concurred in the statements made by the India Minister, they were directly contrary to the Articles of Impeachment, and if the Resolutions were true, what was faid in our name in Westminster Hall, must be false. I am placed in that fituation, that I must stand or fall in the opinion of this House, and of my country, by the truth of what I have afferted.

I have repeatedly faid, within this House and

and out of it, that we passed Thirteen Articles without reading them. Did I act meanly or basely by the House? Did I lie in wait to entrap them? If I had acted so vile a part, I should well indeed deserve the indignation of this House; but I defy the Honourable General to fay that I have ever put the case more forcibly out of the House than I have done in it. I warned the House of what they were doing at the time they did it. I told them, I was fure that if they read those articles, they would never pass them \*. I cannot appeal to you, Sir, for the truth of this, because you were not in the Chair at the time, but I am fure the Gentlemen who fit at the Table remember it: I intreated, I implored the House to read the Articles before they voted them. These Articles are directly contrary to resolutions upon your Journals; they criminate the Directors and the King's Ministers. These Articles denominate Hyder Beg Khan, the Minister of the Nabob of Oude, an implacable tyrant; and they condemn Mr. Haftings for putting fo much

<sup>\*</sup> See the Parliamentary Debates 28th May, 1787, the day these thirteen articles were carried to the Lords.

power in his hands. Yet Lord Cornwallis tells you, for you have his letter upon your Table \*, that in his final arrangeme-

\* The following are exact copies of the papers here alluded to.

20th April, 1787.

" Lord Cornwallis,

"The only material difference which has taken place in the engagements between this Government and the Nabob Vizier, relates to the brigade stationed at Futtyghur, the continuance of which body of troops, in the dominions of the Vizier, I deem equally essential to the interest of the Vizier and the Company. In other respects I have nearly adhered to the principles established by the former Governor General, Mr. Hastings, and since consirmed by the orders of the Honourable Court of Directors; all the subsidiary arrangements have been formed with a view to strengthen those principles, and render them permanent.

(Signed) CORNWALLIS."

8th April, 1789.

Answer from the Court of Directors to Bengal.

" Having attentively perused all the minutes, proceedminutes, and letters referred to in these paragraphs, and in

your subsequent advices on the subject of the late agree-

" ment concluded by Lord Cornwallis with the Vi-

" zier, we approve of the general arrangement, and of the

for principles on which it was formed."

The approbation of this paragraph figned

HENRY DUNDAS.
W. W. GRENVILLE.
MULGRAVE.

D 2

ments

ments he has nearly adhered to the principles laid down by the former Governor General, Mr. Hastings.

All the fubfidiary arrangements formed, as his Lordship says, with a view to strengthen those principles and render them permanent. To this the King's Ministers reply through the Directors, that having attentively confidered the whole subject, and perused the whole proceeding, they approved of the general arrangement, and of the principles on which it was formed. What principles? why, Sir, the very principles which this House, without knowing one word about the matter, has condemned; the principles, which when carried into practice, procure an annual fubfidy of fifty lacks from the Nabob, which pays the expence of one-third of our army. I hope the House will excuse me, if upon this subject I should a little forget the moderation that becomes me; but the contradictions are fo palpable, that I own, I am lost in astonishment, when I reflect upon them. Let not the House be displeased with with me for laying facts fairly before them. Those are to blame who have abused the generous considence which this House placed in them. Sir, I hope I shall not be accused of disrespect to the House of Commons. I call God to witness I mean it not. The House consided in their Committee; after agreeing to the impeachment, it voted the articles without discussing the particulars, and it has happened, that many acts are stated as criminal, which the House has sanctioned as highly meritorious in another character.

And now, Mr. Speaker, having entered into a full, and I hope a fatisfactory explanation of my conduct, let me suppose, for a moment, that I have acted irregularly, or improperly in what I have done. To what I have faid I have put my name. Some proof surely that I meant to do no wrong. But, admitting for a moment, that I have been missed: By whom is it that I have been missed? By the Gentlemen opposite to me, and I do confess myself at a loss to discover with what degree of confistency,

fistency, such a motion, as is now proposed, can come from such a quarter. The Honourable Gentleman is pleased to compliment me upon my knowledge of my duty as a Member of Parliament. I do affure you, Mr. Speaker, it has been my study to acquire that knowledge, and if I have erred, it is by following what I thought justifiable precedents. I never could conceive, Sir, that a moderate, temperate examination of what is stated in a public paper, could have been construed into a breach of privilege, but much less, Sir, could I conceive it possible, after perusing the curious precedents that I shall now produce, I will not quote the common Parliamentary Debates or the newspapers as authority, but I will ask every Gentleman in this House, whether it has not been the invariable practice of Gentlemen opposite to me, to arraign with the utmost freedom such acts of the majority, as they disapproved; I mean in public meetings, in the shape of resolutions, &c.? But, Sir, I will now state to you certain curious facts; and first, I shall bring to your

your notice a pamphlet entitled, "Mr. " Burke's Speech on the Motion made for "Papers 28th of February, 1785\*." In the title page there is a long Greek quotation, which I am not able to translate for you. Every thing contained in that speech, the Gentleman had a right to fay; but with what confistency he can support a motion, against me, after publishing, many months, subsequent to the speech, this pamphlet, I am at a loss to discover. Surely, Sir, it, was no longer a speech, but, according to the law of this day, a libel upon Parliament. The first passage that I shall select, is as follows, and the House will see it is very much in the style of the Gentleman's orations in Westminster Hall.

"Let no man hereafter talk of the de"caying energies of nature; all the acts
"and monuments in the records of peculation, the confolidated corruption of
ages, the patterns of exemplary plunder
in the heroic times of Roman iniquity,
never equalled the gigantick corruption
of this fingle act. Never did Nero, in
Printed by Dodsey.

" all the infolent prodigality of despotism, " deal out to his Prætorian guards a " donation fit to be named with the " largess showered down by the bounty " of our Chancellot of the Exchequer on " the faithful band of his Indian feapoys." The next is as follows-" Your Ministers " knew, when they figned the death war-" rant of the Carnatick, that the Nabob " would not only turn all the unfortunate " farmers of revenue out of employment, " but that he has denounced his feverest " vengeance against them for acting under " British authority. With a knowledge of " this disposition, a British Chancellor of " the Exchequer, and Treasurer of the " Navy, incited by no public advantage, " impelled by no public necessity, in a " strain of the most wanton perfidy, which " has ever stained the annals of mankind, " have delivered over to plunder, im-" prisonment, exile and death, itself, ac-" cording to the mercy of fuch execrable " tyrants as, &c. &c. the unhappy and " deluded fouls, who, untaught by uni-" form example, were still weak enough

" to put their trust in English faith\*."
Does the House know who the Chancel-

\* Any gentleman who will read the speeches of Mr. Burke and his associates with the same attention that Major Scott has done, will find many passages similar to this very curious one, applied to Lord North during the American war, and to Mr. Hastings in Westminster Hall; we shall select two or three of the latter.

Mr. Burke, ist year. "The crimes we charge are not the causes and effects of common human frailty; such as we know and feel we can allow for, but they are crimes which have their rise in avarice, rapacity, pride, cruelty, ferocity, malignity of temper, haughtines, insolence; in short, in every thing that manifests a heart blackened to the very blackest, a heart dyed deep in blackness, a heart gangrened to the very core.

"These gentlemen had for their Director and Register, the very person who communicated between them and the country government, an agent given to them by Hastings, a name that you will often hear of, a name, at the sound of which all India grows pale, the most wicked, the most atrocious, the boldest, and most dextrous villain that ever that country produced.

A man unusual to oratorical flourishes would hardly believe that this same Gunga Govand Sing, has been out of office almost as long as Lord North, enjoying, according to the manners of bis country, the same otium cum dignitate, that his Lordship does here. He could not have been more abused than Lord North was while in office, and bis enemies have never yet fixed criminality upon him, though he convicted them both of forgery and perjury, in the Supreme Court of Judicature.

for of the Exchequer is, and who is the Treasurer of the Navy, of whom the Gentleman

Mr. Burke, 2d year. "For he, (Mr. Hastings) mur-"dered that man [Nundcomar] by the hands of Sir Lli-"jah Impey.

Mr. Grey, Ist year. "This country [Benares] which has been eminent for it riches, revered for its sanctivy, and a garden in point of preservation; this savoured spot, to which the merchants resorted for commerce, and the pisous for religion; this country—which the Mahomedan conquerors of the East had respected, and left unmolested, which even the more pityless arm of British violence had happily spared—this happy nation—this terrestrial paradise—this seat of plenty—this land slowing with milk and honey, now become the seat of wretchedness and despair—its temples polluted, and its laws reversed. Licentiousness took place of order—military violence fubdued all restraint; the country itself became a desert, and ruin and avarice completed the horrid work of devastation.

"Since the government of Benares has been administered by the English, that holy city has encreased one-third in its buildings and reputation."

Mr. Pelham, ist year. "It will be proved by incontro"vertible facts, that he (Mr. Hastings) was not only the
"greatest oppressor, that he was not only the greatest tyrant
"that ever appeared on the Indian coast, but also the great"est peculator; that he was the most corrupt, that he was the
"most cruel, that he never stirred from Calcutta, never undertook any great political plan, but with a view of in"creasing his own iniquities, by filling the treasures of the
"Company, or with a view of filling his own pockets."

A passage

Gentleman speaks so freely? The first is the Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Pitt) below me on the floor; the second is a Right Hon. Gentleman (Mr. Dundas) not now in his place, who is often denominated the Minister of India in this House. Yet those are the terms he applies to two Gentlemen, acting under the authority, and with the approbation of Parliament.

This Gentleman then proceeds to argue, with the utmost freedom, that an arrangement formed by the Right Honourable Gentleman below me, (Mr. Pitt) under the fanction of Parliament, was a corrupt and scandalous bargain, in order to repay certain persons the expences they in-

A passage in Mr. Sheridan's speech in the first year, is almost a transcript of what Major Scott real arme House, as applied to Mr. Pitt and Mr. Dundas, by Mr. Burke—Mr. Sheridan's speech is very happily and literally versified by Simkin.

- " Not all the tyrannical acts of past ages-
- " Not Tacitus: No! not the luminous pages
- " Of Gibbon bimself, can an instance produce,
- " Of authority turn'd to fo wicked a use;
- " No fuch cruelty ever was exercis'd in
- " This world, fince the days of Original Sin.

[Mr. Gibbon was at the elbow of Mr. Sheridan, when this elegant compliment was paid him.]

E 3

curred

curred, by bringing Members into this House at the last election; and will this Gentleman vote against me, for my moderate discussion of a newspaper speech? Will this Gentleman pass a vote of censure upon me for giving my reasons in support of an opinion that I can never give up, namely, that it was his intention not to close the prosecution of Mr. Hastings before the diffolution? He, who after stating an Act to be flagrantly corrupt which was done under the fanction of the House, and the three branches of the legislature-He, who has affigned reasons for that act which never entered into the head of any human being but himself?

The next respectable authority that I shall quote, is from a pamphlet written by Richard Brinsley Sheridan, Esq; A "Comparitive View of the India Bills of Mr. Fox and Mr. Pitt, addressed to J. M. Esq; with eight stars, in Staffordshire." In this the Acts of the House, and of the Legislature, are treated with the utmost freedom. I shall only select the following passages, because they will not tire the House:

' 1. " As to the Declaratory Law itself, " and the plea which was made for it, we " feem to be perfectly agreed upon that " fubject. The papers laid before the House " of Commons, certainly contain, as you " observe, a complete refutation of all the " pretences upon which the fending out the " four regiments to India was defended as " a measure of necessity. And still more " strongly do I agree with you in your re-" marks upon Declaratory Acts in general, " and upon the nature of this Declaratory " Act in particular. It is indeed an alarm-" ing and an unfortunate event in the Hif-" tory of Parliament-for it is one that " shakes the foundation of that security " which all men hope from law, and of " that respect which all men owe to it-" to fee the Representatives of the people " perfuaded to intercept the ordinary course " of justice, to assume themselves a judicial "character, and, upon the fuggestion of "the King's Ministers, to determine a " question of property, in favour of the " fervants of the Crown, against the claims " of the subject!

"Nor can our apprehensions of the consequences of this precedent be diminished, by reflecting upon the manner in which the measure was carried through the House of Lords; by reflecting, that the supreme Court of Judicature in this country, should have been induced by any influence, or by any eloquence, or upon any plea of necessity, pretended or real, to decide — with unparalleled precipitation — upon a construction of law—in the absence of the Judges of the land, and without granting a hearing to the parties interested in their decision."

2. "If it were worth reasoning or ar"guing upon, it would be no difficult mat"ter to prove that this crooked system of
"involved mystery and contradictory duties,
"could never have been meant for any
fair purpose of good government."

3. "Whether under this loose and arro"gant mandate, so unlike the temperate
"precision of a British law upon such a
"subject, there is any one right, power,
"or

" or property of any fort, left to the Com" pany, may reasonably be doubted."

Here, Sir, Acts of Parliament are most freely fpoken of. I do not fay improperly, because I approve of a fair and liberal discussion of political subjects; but how the Honourable Gentleman who wrote that pamphlet, can vote against me, I cannot conceive. I trust I may address Mr. Woodfall upon a public subject with as much security as the Hon. Gentleman may write to a country Gentleman in the county of Stafford, whose name he does not give us, and for whose place of residence he substitutes eight stars. The last authority that I shall quote, is that of the Honourable General himself, who is also an author, and not a despicable one. When he was on bad terms with some of the Gentlemen who fit near him, he wrote the following passage in an address to his constituents at Preston:

" During the last session of Parliament an enquiry was instituted. The detail of the attempts made by Ministry to deseat it, is too notorious to be necessary upon this occasion. They at last contrived that

it should be left imperfect." Is this no " reflection upon the House? In another place the Honourable General fays: " If " the state of the nation in its wars; in its " negociations; in its concerns with its re-" maining colonies; or in the internal poli-" cy and government of these kingdoms, " can afford the smallest countenance to an " opinion of integrity, and capacity in Ad-" ministration, I am ready to abide every " censure, for being, what I am, a deter-" mined enemy to it; I have been in a fitu-" ation to fee that in a complicated and " alarming war, when unsupported by any " alliances, the kingdom was left folely to " its own native military force, that fole " reliance was discouraged and depreciated. " I faw a systematical design of villifying. " and difgracing every officer whom those " Ministers had ever employed by sea or " land; and those most, who stood highest " in their several professions. The ruin of " officers forms almost the whole of their " military fystem; and if I have experienced " my full measure of their hostility, it only " shews the extent of their plan; having " furnished

"industry, as a title to their malevolence." As to their political plan, its object is to impose upon the nation from session to session. Far from profiting themselves, or suffering others to profit by bitter experience, they exist by bringing forth a succession of deceits. I cannot shut my eyes against my own certain knowledge of some of the most fatal of these deceits respecting America; nor restrain my just and natural indignation at their efforts, without forseiting every feeling for my country."

Let not Gentlemen suppose, because I stop here, that the subject is exhausted. I hold in my hands twelve speeches and pamphlets, written by the Right Honourable Gentleman (Mr. Burke) and I will engage, that from each I extract expressions infinitely stronger than any which I have used, upon any proceedings of the House of Commons. I deny that I have ever said or written a word disrespectful to this House. The House has been de-

+ All printed by Dodsley.

ceived

ceived and misled; that I have said, I say it again, and I will prove it if the House pleases, by an appeal to your Journals. The House confided in their Committee to draw up Articles of Impeachment-The Committee therefore has involved the House in contradictions, in so far as the articles condmn fystems which Parliament has approved. I am much obliged to the House for their attention, and will only detain them a moment longer. It will be no justification to me if I have done wrong to prove to the fatisfaction of those who are to decide, that my accusers are fifty times more guilty than I am. Yet, Sir, when I confider that the Charges originally prefented by a Right Honourable Gentleman (Mr. Burke) and the Articles were printed and publickly fold all over the kingdom, and that every circumstance attending the trial of Mr. Hastings has received a full discussion out of doors, I cannot but admire that I should be attacked by those who have made the present complaint to you. In one of the General's Motions.

Motions, he calls me now, or late, an agent of Mr. Hastings. I was in that character when he was abroad; I am not so now, unless he means as his warm and steady friend, who am ready to devote every faculty that I have to his fervice. So far as that I avow myself, but I deny that I wrote the letter complained of in concert with Mr. Hastings, or any other person. We refide in different counties, very distant from each other, and the letter I wrote on Sunday last, at my House in the country, from whence it was dated, nor was it feen by a human being till I delivered it myfelf into the Printer's hands on Monday, unless the first sheet, which I believe was lying on the Table when one of my daughters came into the room. I am thus particular, Sir, because the Honourable General infinuated that every thing was done in concert, and as part of a fettled system. So in the case of Captain Williams; I folemply declare that Mr. Hastings knew nothing about that matter. The moment I faw the attack upon him I did what I am fure he would have done

done by me; I fent him the paper, and answered in the mean time as far as came within my own knowledge. As to the poetry to which the Honourable General alludes (the letters of Simkin) it is so excellent, that I fancy the Honourable General reads it with pleasure; but I do assure him that the author of those verses is too independent both in mind and fortune to act under the direction of any person, or from any other motive than his own conviction; and here, Sir, I trust my cause, having the sullest reliance upon the justice and candour of the House.

#### POSTSCRIPT.

THERE are three points in Major Scott's Letter which he omitted to notice. The first, that the malicious story of Deby Sing had been fully refuted. The decision, of the Lords has made it clear, that what Major Scott had formerly stated upon this subject was true, that there is no part of the articles to which that story could apply; and, as Mr. Burke has never thought proper to bring it before the House in the form of a specific charge, which it was at all times in his power to do, had there been grounds for it, the publick will judge how far Major Scott was founded in all he has written upon that subject, as well as in the epithet he has applied to a.

The next is relative to the opinion of all India of the impeachment, and the teftimonials transmitted from the natives in favour of Mr. Hastings. The first affertion is capable of the fullest proof, but it has not occurred to the Managers, to call either Mr. Shore or any of the gentlemen returned

returned either in this year or the last from India; and the British nation thinks too highly of Lord Cornwallis, to believe him capable of a participation in so detestable an imposition upon the people of England, as he must have been a party to, if those testimonials had been procured by improper influence, or if they did not bona fide contain the sentiments of the people.

The last is, "that the state of the Reve-" nues and resources of Bengal, overturns all " the arguments used by Mr. Fox in support " of his Bill." Most undoubtedly it does; for it is evident from the present state of Bengal, that it must have been in a progressive state of improvement from the day Mr. Hastings succeeded to the government in 1772, when, for the first time, the English took the government into their own hands; whereas the jett of Mr. Fox's argument was, that the country had materially fuffered under a British administration, and would be ruined if instant measures were not taken to prevent it. Indeed the preamble to his Bill declares as much.

THE END.

#### ROBINSON CRUSOE.

In the Press, and in the Month of June will be published,

Beautifully printed on a superfine Paper, in Two handsome Volumes, Royal Octavo, Price One Guinea in Boards;

And Embellished with FIFTEEN ORIGINAL ENGRAVINGS, and Two elegant VIGNETTES, designed by STODHART, and engraved by MEDLAND:

THE

#### LIFE

AND STRANGE SURPRISING

#### ADVENTURES

01

## ROBINSON CRUSOE,

OF YORK, MARINER:

Who lived Eight-and-Twenty Years all alone, in an uninhabited Island, on the Coast of America, near the Mouth of the great River Oroonoque; having been cast on Shore by Shipwreck, wherein all the Men perished but himself:

With an Account how he was at last as strangely delivered by the PIRATES.

WRITTEN BY HIMSELF.

TO WHICH WILL BE ADDED,

## THE LIFE OF DANIEL DE FOE,

BY GEORGE CHALMERS, ESQ.

LONDON: Printed for JOHN STOCKDALE, Piccadilly.

Mr. STOCKDALE will thankfully receive the Names of such Ladies and Gentlemen as may be pleased to patronize this Work.

N.B. A LIST of the Subscribers to this Elegant Edition will be printed.

#### NOOTKA SOUND AND SPANISH WAR.

On SATURDAY, the 22d Day of MAY, was published,

DEDICATED BY PERMISSION TO

HIS MAJESTY,

Sir Joseph Banks, Bart.

And the Lords of the Admiralty;

No. I.

(To be continued Weekly)

Price ONE SHILLING,

Enbellished with a beautiful View on the North-West Coast of America, And a Head of Captain PORTLOCK,

TD ....

## VOYAGE ROUND THE WORLD,

BUT MORE PARTICULARLY TO THE

NORTH-WEST COAST OF AMERICA,

The great Mart of the Fur Trade.

Embellished with FORTY-Two Charts, Views, and other Copper Plates,

Representing the DISCOVERIES

#### BY CAPTAINS PORTLOCK AND DIXON.

Which contains a full and authentic Account of the Rife and Progress of the Fur-Trade at Nootka Sound, and on all the North-West Coast of America, our right to which is disputed by the Court of Spain.

In this Work is given a particular account of the Natives, their Disposition, Ornaments, Dress, and Language; together with an account of the Advantages likely to accrue from a Settlement on that Coast.

The whole will be completed in Forty Two Numbers, in each of which will be given one Copper-Plate, making Two handsome Volumes in Quarto.

LONDON: Printed for JOHN STOCKDALE, Piccadilly; and GEORGE GOULDING, No. 6, James-street, Covent-Garden; and fold by all the Book-fellers and News-carriers in Great Britain.

The Publishers have already expended, for the Embellishments, &c: of this Work, near Two Thousand Pounds.

M

A Lift of the Subscribers will be printed in the last Number.

## GOVERNOR PHILLIP'S VOYAGE

TO

## BOTANY-BAY.

INSCRIBED, BY PERMISSION, TO THE

## MARQUIS OF SALISBURY.

## On SATURDAY, the 22d Day of MAY, was published, No. I.

(To be continued Weekly)

Price ONE SHILLING,

Embellished with a HEAD of GOVERNOR PHILLIP, Painted by Wheatley, and Engraved by Sherwin; a Copper-plate Title, with a beautiful Vignette, from a Medallion of Mr. Wedgwood's, Engraved by Medland; and a View in New South Wales, by R. Clevely, Esq. Engraved by Medland:

## The Voyage of Governor Phillip to Botany-Bay;

WITE

An Account of the Establishment of the Colonies at PORT JACKSON AND NORFOLK ISLAND:

Compiled from Authentic Papers which have been received from the feveral Departments.

TO WHICH ARE ADDED,

The JOURNALS of Lieut. SHORTLAND, of the Alexander; Lieut. WATTS, of the Penrhyn; Lieut. BALL, of the Supply; and Capt. MARSHALL, of the Scarborough; with an Account of their New Discoveries, and an Alphabetical List of the Convicts sent out to BOTANY-BAY.

TO WHICH WILL ALSO BE ADDED,

THE HISTORY OF NEW HOLLAND,

From its Discovery in 1616, to the present Time,

And a Discourse on Banishment,

BY THE RIGHT HON. LORD AUCKLAND.

LONDON: Printed for JOHN STOCKDALE, Piccadilly; and Sold by all the Booksellers and News-carriers in Great Britain.

The above Work is elegantly Printed on a Fine Royal Paper, and will be compleated in Twenty-One Numbers, making One large handsome Volume in Quarto.

Embellished with Fifty-Five Copper-plates,

Confisting of Maps, Charts, Views, Inhabitants, &c.

Two Plates, and sometimes Three, will be given in each Number.

The Maps and Charts are taken from actual Surveys, and the Plans and Views drawn on the Spot, by Capt. Hunter, Lieut. Shortland, Lieut. Watts, Lieut. Dawes, Lieut. Bradley, Capt. Marshall, &c. and Engraved by Medland, Sherwin, Mazell, Harrison, &c.

\*\* It may with truth be afferted, that the above will be the Cheapest Work ever published in Great Britain.

A Lift of the Subscribers will be printed in the last Number.

## HIS MAJESTY, TIVOT

AND HIS ROYAL HIGHNESS

## THE PRINCE OF WALES,

Have been graciously pleased to permit Mr. STOCKDALE to place their Names at the Head of the LIST of SUBSCRIBERS to his Edition of

#### SHAKSPEARE,

With a Complete INDEX.

## In the Press, and in the Month of June will be published, IN ONE LARGE VOLUME OCTAVO.

Containing near 1500 Pages, beautifully printed upon a fine Royal Paper, and embellified with a Head of the Author, from an Original,

## SHAKSPEARE,

INCLUDING, IN ONE VOLUME,

## The Whole of his Dramatic Works;

With Explanatory Notes, compiled from various Commentators;

TO WHICH WILL BE NOW FIRST ABDED,

A Copious Index to all the remarkable Passages and Words.

Calculated to point out the different Meanings in which the Words are made use of

## BY THE REVEREND SAMUEL AYSCOUGH, F.A. S.

And Affistant Librarian of the British Museum.

#### Lendon: Printed for JOHN STOCDALE, Piccadilly:

The want of an INDEX to all the beautiful and remarkable Paffages in SHAKSPEARE has long been regretted; but the difficulty of the Undertaking has hitherto prevented every attempt. Mr. STOCKDALE has already experienced a liberal Encouragement from the Public for his Edition of SHAKSPEARE, in one Volume, 8vo., and to whom he begs leave to return his grateful Acknowledgments. As the prefent Edition will cost him near 2,000l. he humbly folicits the Admirers of the Admirers of SHAKSPEARE, by favouring him with their Names as Subscribers.

A Lift of the Encouragers of a Work which is intended to make this Favourite Author still more useful and agreeable, will be prefixed; and Mr. STOCKDALE has reason to believe it will be the most Numerous and Respectable ever prefixed to an English Author.

The Price to Subscribers One Pound Five Shillings; to Non-Subscribers One Pound Ten Shillings.

Jan. 18th, 1790.

diam'r had

For the convenience of Ladies and Gentlemen that may think this Volume too Large, a Second Volume Title is printed, and a Title to the Index; so that the Purchaser may either bind the Work in One, Two, or Three Volumes.

A Line of the Settle All

